

### REMARKS

The Office Action dated April 9, 2008 has been received and carefully studied.


The Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 18 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0629512.

By the accompanying amendment, claims 18 and 24 have been amended by defining R<sub>40</sub> as phenyl in claim 18 and R<sub>66</sub> as phenyl in claim 24. By the above amendment, the compound of formula (5) in claim 18 and the compound of formula (7) in claim 24 are limited to compounds which are not disclosed in EP 0629512. The compound of formula (5) in claim 18 has at least one phenyl substituent as R<sub>31</sub>, R<sub>33</sub>, R<sub>38</sub> or R<sub>40</sub> and such compound is not disclosed in EP 0629512. The compound of formula (7) in claim 24 has at least one phenyl substituent as R<sub>59</sub>, R<sub>61</sub>, R<sub>64</sub> or R<sub>66</sub> and such compound is not disclosed in EP 0629512.

The Examiner's allowance of claim 1, 3-8, 10-17 and 21 is noted with appreciation.

Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are respectfully requested in view of the foregoing.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Kevin S. Lemack  
Reg. No. 32,579  
176 E. Main Street - Suite 5  
Westboro, Massachusetts 01581  
TEL: (508) 898-1818